

**ORIGINAL**  
**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISS**  
**UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**



Investigator: Carolyn Buck

Phone:

Fax:

Priority: Respond Within Five Days

Opinion      No. 2010 - 84946

Date: 2/11/2010

Complaint Description:      08E Rate Cases Items - In Favor  
N/A Not Applicable

Complaint By:      First: Gloria      Last: Burek

Account Name: Gloria Burek

Home: (000) 000-0000

Street: N/A

Work: (000) 000-0000

City: N/A

CBR: N/A

State: AZ      Zip: N/A

is: Other

Utility Company: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Division: Electric

Contact Name: Lainie Keltner

Contact Phone: (520) 515-3440

Nature of Complaint:

2/11/10\*\*\*\*\*REFERRED FROM CHAIRMAN MAYES' OFFICE\*\*\*\*\*

REFERENCE: Sulphur Springs Valley Cooperative, Inc. Docket No. E-01575A-08-0328 & E-01575A-09-0453

CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED - OPINION IN FAVOR OF

From: Roxanne Williams [mailto:rwilliams@ssvec.com]  
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2010 10:34 AM  
To: Mayes-WebEmail; Pierce-Web; Stump-Web; Kennedy-Web; Newman-Web  
Subject: Sonoita 69kV line letters


Dear Chairman Mayes and Commissioners:

Please find attached two letters we have received in support of the Sonoita 69kV line. Can you please make sure these are posted on the ACC web site with other documents regarding docket numbers E-01557A-09-0453 and E-01575A-08-0328?

Thank you.

Roxanne Williams  
Member Services Assistant  
Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative  
311 E. Wilcox Dr.  
Sierra Vista, AZ 85635  
(520) 515-3471 phone  
(520) 458-3467  
rwilliams@ssvec.com

Arizona Corporation Commission  
**DOCKETED**  
FEB 12 2010

DOCKETED BY 

**RECEIVED**  
2010 FEB 12 A 11:48  
AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

**ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**  
**UTILITY COMPLAINT FORM**

---

\*My Response to your letter received (1-14-2010)

Date: (01-16-2010)

To: Mr. Creder Huber  
Chief Executive Officer  
(S.S.V.E.C.)

Message: \$360,000.00 wasted for another study to "outside" firm (NCI) (you should have listened to your own SSVEC) and more & to SSVEC "Contractors" and SSVEC "staff" -- you only need to "follow the money trail" to demonstrate the "GREEDY" ONES!

And we the CUSTOMERS have to pay for it all and more to come! The money grabbing study confirms what your own engineers had proposed! Listen to your own people because they KNOW BEST!

The A.C.C. -- who are they?? Let them pay for the extra study because you state they ordered the study.

Did the CUSTOMERS have a vote? NO! We did not! "Greed" is ruining the world; the CEO's and Commissions and contractors already have millions but they want MORE!

\*Why not a NUCLEAR PLANT? (This was not mentioned.)

Why not the windmills (wind energy)? Arizona always has winds!

?(you stated they found not enough study wind year round.) Coming to Arizona I saw a lot of states with windmills -- and they looked very good!

Given former President Bush has one on his front lawn in Maine! (Kennybunkport)

I think it's the way of the Future! Arizona should look to the future!

Windmills and/or Nuclear is the way to go!

Thank You for reading  
Sincerely,  
Gloria Burek

(P.S.) The key was SSVEC has "easement rights." If you did not want to be futuristic (wind and/or Nuclear) the "easement rights" cinched the deal! Just follow the MONEY! :o)  
Have a nice day.

(P.S.) The Economy is bad. People needing work. People struggling to pay their bills now; extra wasteful studies NOT NEEDED!

\*End of Complaint\*

Utilities' Response:

.

Investigator's Comments and Disposition:

2/11/10 Comments entered for the record and docketed in Docket No. E-01575A-09-0453 & E-01575A-08-0328

\*End of Comments\*

Date Completed:

Opinion No. 2010 - 84946

---